

In the Court of Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Tis Hazari at Delhi

**IN THE COURT OF CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE
TIS HAZARI AT DELHI**

Case No. 39/1 of 1996

In the matter of

Council of Architecture
through its Registrar
India Habitat Centre
Zone 6-A, 1st Floor
Lodhi Road
New Delh-110003

..... COMPLAINANT

Vs

Shri R.R. Nagpal
R/o F.10/32 Krishna Nagar
New Delhi-110051
Office : Chamber No. 504
Civil Wing, New Courts
Tis Hazari, Delhi-110054

..... ACCUSED

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTIONS 419, 465, 468 & 471 OF IPC

AND UNDER SECTIONS 35, 36 & 37 OF
THE ARCHITECTS ACT, 1972

NOTICE

I, Rakesh Garg MM, Delhi do hereby serve upon you R.R. Nagpal S/o Late Shri Dwarka Dass Nagpal as follows :

It is alleged against you that on the complaint of Shri B.R. Chopra dt. 24/8/95 that you were not the member of Council of Architecture but inspite of the same you were practicing as an Architect. Also your name has also not been registered/mentioned in the Council of Architecture. Inspite of your name not being registered in the Council of Architecture, you used the word Architect alongwith your name in the courts, in the reports/inspection reports filed by you in various courts and thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 36 Indian Architect Act, 1972.

You have also used the name plate/sign board and have used the word Architect alongwith your name and thereby committed an offence punishable under Sec. 36 Indian Architect Act and within the cognizance of this court.

Show cause as to why you should not be tried by this court on the above offence.

Sd/-
MM/Delhi
19/5/1999

Notice read over and explained to accused who is further questioned as under:

Q. : Do you plead guilty or have you any defence to make ?

Ans : I plead guilty

R.O. & A.C.Sd/-
MM/Delhi
19/5/99

Pr : Counsel for the complainant.

Accused on bail with counsel.

Notice under Section 36 Indian Architect Act, 1972 framed against the accused to which he pleaded guilty. I accept the plea of guilt as the same is voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence. Accused is convicted for offence under Section 36 Indian Architect Act, 1972.

Heard on sentence. Accused is directed to pay a fine of Rs.1000/- in default SI for three months. Fine of Rs.1000/- paid by accused. File be consigned to Record Room.

ANNOUNCED IN THE OPEN COURT:

19/5/99

Sd/-
(Rakesh Garg)
Metropolitan Magistrate
Delhi