

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.3

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. 11 & 12 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)  
No(s). 7277/2014

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18/12/2013  
in WP No. 27639/2013 passed by the High Court Of Orissa At  
Cuttack)

ORISSA TECHNICAL COLLEGES ASSOCIATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AICTE & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for clarification/direction and direction and office report)

Date : 27/04/2015 This application was called on for hearing  
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Bijan Ghosh, Adv.  
Mr. Shadman Ali, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. L.N. Rao, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr Bijan Kr. Jain, Adv.  
Mr. C. Mukund, Adv.  
Mr. Vivek Anandh, Adv.

Mr. Bijan Kumar Ghosh, Adv.

Dr. Rajeev Dhawan, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, Adv.  
Mr. Naveen R. Nath, Adv.  
Ms. L.M. Bhat, Adv.

Mrs. Kirti Renu Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Apurva Upmanyu, Adv.

Ms. Lalita Kohli, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Swarup, Adv.

Signature Not Verified  
Digitally signed by  
Deepak Manglikhani  
Date: 2014.04.26  
17:50:34 IST  
Reason: --

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

IA No. 11 of 2015

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Upon hearing the learned counsel, we find that in pursuance of a public advertisement issued by the AICTE marked as "Public Notice For Approval Process 2015-2016", some of the institutions had approached the AICTE for getting its approval.

The grievance which has been ventilated by the Council of Architecture is that even if the concerned institution has an approval from the AICTE, the students who are imparted studies in the said institute would not be permitted to practice as architects, if the institute where they have studied is not having approval from the Council of Architecture.

It is clarified that it would be open to the institutes who have approval by the AICTE and who are imparting studies in the field of architecture may also get themselves approved by the Council for architecture so that the students passing out from their institutes may not have to face any problem.

The application stands disposed of with above clarifications.

SLP(C) No. 7277/2014 be de-tagged from Writ  
Petition(C) No. 895 of 2013.

IA No. 12 of 2015

IA no. 12 of 2015 has been filed with the prayer(a)  
as follows:-

"permit the AICTE to proces all  
applications of technical institutions for  
extension of approval, variation of intake, new  
courses, establishment of new technical  
institutions etc. In accordance with the new  
AICTE Approval Process Handbook (2015-2016).

There is no opposition. Accepting the reasons given in  
the application, IA no. 12 is allowed. However, it is  
clarified that if the institutions have to approach for  
enhancement/variation of intake, it should approach through  
National Board of Accrediation(NBA).

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)  
COURT MASTER

(SNEH BALA MEHRA)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR