

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.6032 OF 2014

S.B. Patil College of Architecture & Design
Established by

Pimpri - Chinchwad Educational Trust ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Directorate of Technical Education & Ors. ... Respondents.

Mr. S.C. Naidu a/w Mr. Y.C. Naidu and Mr. Rahul Tanwani i/b M/s. C.R.
Naidu & Company for the Petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Bhende, AGP for Respondent nos.1 and 2 -State.

Mr. Rahul L. Nerlekar for Respondent no.3 – Council.

**CORAM: ANOOP V. MOHTA &
A.A. SAYED, JJ.**

DATED : JULY 15, 2014.

PC. :-

1 Rule, returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of the parties.

2 Both the Counsel make a statement that the issues involved in this Petition are similar to the issues involved in **Writ Petition no.5816 of 2014 (Dr. D.Y. Patil Pratishthan's Padmashree Dr. D.Y. Patil Polytechnic V/s. Directorate of Technical Education, Maharashtra State & Ors)**, which Writ Petition has been allowed by judgment and order dated 9th July, 2014, except in the present case, Council of Architecture is the authority under the Architects Act, 1972 who has granted LOA (Letter of Approval) to the Petitioner and not the AICTE.

However, the action of Respondent nos.1 and 2 is in challenge and the defence so raised by them is also of similar nature.

3 Therefore, for the reasons and conditions stated in the aforesaid order dated 9th July, 2014, the present Petition is allowed in terms of prayer clauses a(i) and (ii) as under:

“a) (i) the Respondent No.2 in it's Higher & Technical Education Department to forthwith issue appropriate Government Resolution/Notification recording the second letter of approval granted by COA to the Petitioner Institution for A.Y. 2014-15 to admit 80 students for B.Arch Degree Course;

(ii) the Respondent No.1 to forthwith include the Petitioner in Centralised Admission Procedure (CAP) for First Year B. Arch Degree as approved by COA for A.Y. 2014-2015 and to issue College Code and further display the name of petitioner on its web portal along with College Information, course wise sanctioned intake & choice codes.”

4 Rule made absolute. No costs.

5 It is made clear that the Petitioner Institution shall comply with other obligations/formalities, if any.

(A.A. SAYED, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)

katkam